

SA

**stichting  
mathematisch  
centrum**



---

SA  
AFDELING MATHEMATISCHE STATISTIEK

SW 22/73

NOVEMBER

A. HORDIJK and P. VAN GOETHEM  
A CRITERION FOR THE EXISTENCE OF INVARIANT  
PROBABILITY MEASURES IN MARKOV PROCESSES

Prepublication

---

**2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam**

BIBLIOTHEEK    MATHEMATISCH    CENTRUM  
                         AMSTERDAM

*Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.*

*The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O), by the Municipality of Amsterdam, by the University of Amsterdam, by the Free University at Amsterdam, and by industries.*

A criterion for the existence of invariant probability measures in  
Markov processes \*)

by

Arie Hordijk and Paul Van Goethem \*\*)

Summary

In this paper we investigate the existence of invariant probability measures for Markov processes on noncompact state spaces. The introduced criterion is a generalization of a Foster criterion [Foster (1953), theorem 2] and of a Liapunov function criterion [Kushner (1971), section 8.6.5]. As an illustration of the applicability of our criterion, we show that it is satisfied for the Lindley model in queueing problems.

---

\*) This paper is not for review; it is meant for publication in a journal.

\*\*) Universitaire Instelling Antwerpen. Part of this work was done while the second author was an "aspirant navorsers N.F.W.O."

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $(X_n)$ ,  $n=0,1,2,\dots$  be a Markov process defined on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ . We assume that the state space  $E$  is a separable metric space with Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ .

For  $f$  a measurable function on  $E$  we denote

$$Pf(x) = \int_E P(x, dy) f(y),$$

where  $P(x, B)$ ,  $x \in E$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ , is the stochastic kernel corresponding to the Markov process ( $P(x, E)=1$  for all  $x \in E$ ). Further, given any set  $B$  and function  $f$  we write  $I_B f$  for the function which equals  $f$  on  $B$  and is zero on  $B^c$  (the complement of set  $B$ ). We define

$$P_B^n = (PI_{B^c})^{n-1} P, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where the  $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$  power means that the operator  $PI_{B^c}$  is applied  $(n-1)$  times ( $(PI_{B^c})^0 = I$  the identical operator).

Finally we introduce

$$G_B = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_B^n.$$

When applying one of the above introduced operators on some function  $f$  it is tacitly assumed that the operator acted on the function  $|f|$  gives a function which is everywhere finite (for example when we write  $Pf$  then

it is assumed that

$$\int_E P(x, dy) |f(y)| < \infty \text{ for all } x \in E.$$

For  $\mu$  a measure and  $g$  a measurable function we denote

$$\mu g = \int g(x) \mu(dx).$$

## 2. INVARIANT PROBABILITY MEASURES

A measure  $\mu$  is called invariant for the Markov process  $(X_n)$ ,  $n=0,1,\dots$  with kernel  $P(x,B)$ ,  $x \in E$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ , if

$$\mu = \mu P = \int_E \mu(dx) P(x, \cdot)$$

The following conditions are sufficient for the existence of an invariant probability measure

### S) Stability condition

*There exists a compact set  $A$  and a finite nonnegative and measurable function  $\phi(x)$  such that*

$$1 + \text{PI}_{A^c} \phi(x) \leq \phi(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in A^c$$

*and*

$$\text{PI}_{A^c} \phi(x) \quad \text{is bounded on } A.$$

C) If  $f \in C(E)$  (the class of real valued, bounded and continuous func-

tions on  $E$ ), then  $Pf \in C(E)$  and  $PI_A f \in C(E)$ .

We note that the first part of condition C is equivalent to the assumption that the Markov process is stable [Loève (1960), p. 623]. A sufficient condition for the second part of assumption C is  $P(x, A \setminus A^i) = 0$  for all  $x \in E$ , with  $A^i$  the interior of the set  $A$ .

In the sequel of this section we assume that conditions S and C are satisfied.

PROPOSITION 1. *If  $f \in C(A)$  then  $G_A f \in C(A)$  and hence the embedded Markov process on  $A$  is stable.*

PROOF. According to a well-known theorem on weak convergence of probability measures [Billingsley (1968), p.12] it is sufficient to show that for any nonnegative lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) function  $g$  it holds that  $G_A I_A g$  is l.s.c. It follows from condition C that if  $g$  is l.s.c. then  $PI_A g$  is l.s.c. The compact set  $A$  is closed and hence  $1_{A^c}$  (the indicator function of  $A^c$ ) is l.s.c. Consequently if  $g$  is l.s.c. then  $PI_{A^c} g$  is l.s.c. Combining these arguments we find that  $\sum_{n=0}^N P_A^n I_A g$  is l.s.c. for  $N=1,2,\dots$ . Since the limit of a nondecreasing sequence of l.s.c. functions is also l.s.c., we obtain that  $G_A I_A g$  is l.s.c. To prove the second part of the assertion we note that the transition probabilities of the Markov process on  $A$  equal

$${}_A P(x, B) = G_A 1_B(x),$$

with  $x \in A$  and  $1_B$  the indicator function of the set  $B \subset A$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Interpreting the following terms as the probabilities that the Markov

process does and does not visit the set  $A$  before time  $n+1$ , shows

$$\sum_{k=1}^n P_A^k 1_A + P_A^n 1_{A^c} = 1_E.$$

In the first part of the proof of proposition 3 we shall show that

$$G_A 1_E(x) < \infty \text{ for all } x \in E. \text{ Hence } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_A^n 1_{A^c} = 1_\emptyset \text{ and consequently } G_A 1_A = 1_E. \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 2. *The embedded Markov process on  $A$  has an invariant probability measure.*

PROOF. The assertion follows immediately from the well-known fact that a stable process on a compact state space has an invariant probability measure (see for example [Rosenblatt (1971), p.99]). To be complete we give an elementary proof of this result. Define for fixed  $x \in A$ ,

$$\Pi_N(B) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N P^n(x, B), \quad N=1, 2, \dots$$

It follows from a well-known theorem of Prohorov (cf. [Billingsley (1968), p. 37]) that  $(\Pi_N)$ ,  $N=1, 2, \dots$ , has a weakly convergent subsequence.

Hence for some subsequence  $N_k$ ,  $k=1, 2, \dots$ , and some probability measure

$\Pi$  on  $A$  we have that

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_{N_k} g = \Pi g \quad \text{for all } g \in C(A).$$

It is easily seen that also

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_{N_k} P g = \Pi g \quad \text{for all } g \in C(A).$$

If  $g \in C(A)$  then according to proposition 1 also  ${}_A P g \in C(A)$  and hence from (2.1)

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_{N_k} {}_A P g = \Pi {}_A P g.$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.3) we find that

$$\Pi g = \Pi {}_A P g \quad \text{for all } g \in C(A).$$

Consequently,  $\Pi$  is an invariant probability measure (cf. [Billingsley (1968), theorem 1.3 on p. 9]).  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3. *The Markov process has an invariant probability measure.*

PROOF. We first show that  $G_A 1_E(x)$  is bounded on  $A$ . From the first part of the condition S we have that

$$1_E + \Pi_{A^c} \phi \leq \phi \quad \text{on } A^c.$$

Iterating this inequality  $N$  times we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^N (\Pi_{A^c})^n 1_E + (\Pi_{A^c})^{N+1} \phi \leq \phi \quad \text{on } A^c.$$

Hence

$$G_A 1_E \leq \phi \quad \text{on } A^c.$$

From the second part of condition S it follows that

$$G_A 1_E = P 1_A + P \Pi_{A^c} G_A 1_E \leq$$



$$\leq P 1_A + P I_{A^c} \phi,$$

is bounded on A.

Let  $\Pi_A$  be an invariant probability measure for the embedded Markov process on A. Then we define a measure  $\Pi$  on E by

$$\Pi 1_B = \Pi_A G_A 1_B.$$

Since  $\Pi_A G_A 1_E$  is finite we have that  $\Pi$  is a finite measure.

Now we proceed along the same lines as in [Harris (1956).] to prove that  $\Pi$  is an invariant measure. Indeed, since  $\Pi_A G_A 1_A = \Pi_A$  we have for  $B \in F$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi P 1_B &= \Pi_A P 1_B + \Pi_A G_A I_{A^c} P 1_B = \\ &= \Pi_A [P 1_B + G_A I_{A^c} P 1_B] = \\ &= \Pi_A G_A 1_B = \Pi 1_B. \end{aligned}$$

Finally  $(\Pi 1_E)^{-1} \Pi(\cdot)$  is an invariant probability measure.  $\square$

### 3. QUEUEING PROCESSES

Following [Lindley (1952)] (see also [Feller (1966), p. 194]) we define recursively a sequence of random variables  $W_0, W_1, \dots$  by  $W_0 = 0$  and

$$W_{n+1} = \max [W_n + U_{n+1}, 0], \quad n=0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $U_n$  denotes the difference of the  $(n-1)^{th}$  service time and the  $n^{th}$  interarrival time. In [Lindley (1952)] it is assumed that the random variables  $U_n$  are i.i.d. Here we allow that  $U_{n+1}$  depends on  $W_n$ , for example

the service time of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  customer depends on his waiting time.

ASSUMPTIONS. The conditional distribution of  $U_{n+1}$  given  $W_n = w$  does not depend on  $n$  (let  $F_w(\cdot)$  denote the regular version of  $U_{n+1}$  given  $W_n = w$ ). For  $f \in C([0, \infty))$  it holds that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{-x}^{y-x} f(x+z) dF_x(z) + f(0) F_x(-x)$$

is continuous in  $x$  for  $y$  sufficiently large and for  $y = \infty$ . And, moreover,

$$(3.2) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-x}^{\infty} z dF_x(z) = -a < 0.$$

The stochastic kernel corresponding to the Markov process  $W_n$ ,  $n=0,1,\dots$ , satisfies

$$P(x, [0, y]) = F_x(y-x).$$

Let  $y$  be such that for  $x \geq y$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x+z) dF_x(z) \leq x \int_{-x}^{\infty} dF_x(z) + \int_{-x}^{\infty} z dF_x(z) \leq x - a/2$$

and, moreover, (3.1) be satisfied, then it is straightforward to verify that conditions S and C of section 2 hold with  $A = [0, y]$ ,  $\phi(x) = 2x/a$ .

It is well-known that the Doeblin condition implies the existence of an invariant probability measure. However, as pointed out in [Runnenburg (1960), p. 33], very few queueing processes satisfy the condition of Doeblin. If for some indecomposable Markov process the Doeblin condition

holds then condition S is satisfied for a bounded function  $\phi$  (cf. [Orey (1971)]).

## REFERENCES

- Billingsley, P. (1968). *Convergence of probability measures*.  
J. Wiley, New York.
- Feller, W. (1966). *An introduction to probability theory and its applications II* (second printing). J. Wiley, New York.
- Foster, F.G. (1953). On the stochastic matrices associated with certain queueing processes. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 24, 355-360.
- Harris, T.E. (1956). The existence of stationary measures for certain Markov processes. *Third Berkeley Symposium on Math. Stat. and Probability*, vol II, 113-124.
- Kushner, H. (1971). *Introduction to stochastic control*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York.
- Lindley, D.V. (1952). The theory of queues with a single server. *Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc.* 48, 277-289.
- Loève, M. (1960). *Probability theory*, Van Nostrand Company, New York (second edition).
- Orey, S. (1971). *Limit theorems for Markov chain transition probabilities*. Van Nostrand Reinhold, London.
- Rosenblatt, M. (1971). *Markov processes. Structure and asymptotic behavior*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Runnenburg, J.Th. (1960). *On the use of Markov processes in one-server waiting-time problems and renewal theory*.  
Poortpers N.V., Amsterdam.